



ITZ

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of:) Examiner: Shawn S. An
)
CHIH-TA STAR SUNG ET AL.) Art Unit: 2621
)
Application No.: 10/626,917)
)
Filed: 25th, July, 2003)
)
For: MOTION ESTIMATION METHOD AND APPARATUS
FOR VIDEO DATA COMPRESSION)

RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated on 3rd December, 2006, (received on December 15th, 2006 with stamped dated 11th, December, 2007), we have sent you last Friday the summary of our invention vs. the four cited prior art patents. Here's we are sending you details of explanation regarding the difference of each claim.

Applicant respectfully submits the following remarks. This application contains claims 1-23, of which claim 1-4, 6-10, 12-14, and 16-23 are rejected, and claims 5, 11 and 15 are allowable but objected to as being dependent upon rejected base claims.